Exhibit B

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA * Case No. 20-CR-68(BMC)

*

* Brooklyn, New York * March 12, 2020

*

RASEDUR RAIHAN,

*

Defendant.

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

TRANSCRIPT OF CRIMINAL CAUSE FOR TELEPHONE STATUS CONFERENCE
BEFORE THE HONORABLE JAMES ORENSTEIN
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

v.

For the Government: MICHAEL BUSHWACK, ESQ.

Asst. United States Attorney
United States Attorney's Office

271 Cadman Plaza Brooklyn, NY 11201

For the Defendant: LETICIA MARIA OLIVERA, ESQ.

Federal Defenders of New York,

Inc.

One Pierrepont Plaza, 16th fl.

Brooklyn, NY 11201

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript produced by transcription service.

Fiore Reporting and Transcription Service, Inc. 4 Research Drive, Suite 402 Shelton, Connecticut 06484 (203)929-9992

```
2
 1
             (Proceedings commenced at 1:59 p.m.)
                  THE CLERK: Criminal cause for status conference on
 2
 3
        docket no. 20-CR-068, United States of America versus Rasedur
 4
        Raihan.
                  Counsel, please state your name for the record.
 5
                  MR. BUSHWACK: Michael Bushwack for the United
 6
 7
        States. Good afternoon, everyone.
                  THE COURT: Good afternoon.
 8
 9
                  MS. OLIVERA: Leticia Olivera on behalf of Mr.
        Raihan. Good afternoon.
10
                  THE COURT: Good afternoon.
11
                  MS. OLIVERA: Mr. Raihan, just to confirm, you're
12
        on the line?
13
                  THE COURT: Good afternoon, Mr. Raihan. It's Judge
14
15
        Orenstein.
16
                  THE DEFENDANT: Good afternoon.
                  THE COURT: All right. Anyone else on the line?
17
                  (No response.)
18
19
                  All right, folks. And I just before getting on the
20
        phone received a report alleging the violation of the order
2.1
        of conditions of release. It's dated today. Have you all
22
        had a chance to see it?
23
                  MR. BUSHWACK: So as we're speaking, Your Honor,
24
        it just came through on my email. However, I did earlier
25
        today speak with the Pretrial Services officer, Robert Long,
```

```
3
 1
        who also indicated to me that he spoke with Ms. Oliveri
 2
        earlier today.
 3
                  THE COURT: Ms. Oliveri, you're aware of the
        substance of the report?
 4
                  MR. BUSHWACK: I'm sorry, Your Honor. Could you
 5
 6
        say that again?
 7
                  THE COURT: I just asked if you're aware of the
 8
        substance of the report.
 9
                  MS. OLIVERA: I am.
                  THE COURT: Well, we'll circle back to the bail
10
        issue. First of all, I saw, Mr. Bushwack, the government
11
        just in the last day or so provided some discovery. Is that
12
13
        correct?
                  MR. BUSHWACK: That's correct.
14
15
                  THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything either of you
16
        wanted to raise in terms of housekeeping, either plans for
        further discovery or motion practice?
17
                  MR. BUSHWACK: Not in terms of the government,
18
19
        Your Honor. We have produced substantial discovery and hand
20
        delivered it to counsel earlier today. My apologies for
21
        incorrectly filing it on the electronic docket.
22
                  But in terms of the discovery, the vast majority,
23
        if not all, has been produced to date.
24
                  THE COURT: Okay. And Mr. Bushwack, I just didn't
25
        want an open motion on the docket. I don't otherwise care
```

how you style it.

MS. OLIVERA: Your Honor, as is our standard practice, we'll review the government's initial discovery production and then file a Rule 16 discovery letter. And then, you know, we'll confer with the government to see if we should anticipate any additional discovery in response to that request.

Ms. Olivera, are you anticipating any motions?

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Are you engaged in plea negotiations?

MR. BUSHWACK: We have had some preliminary conversations. And as it relates to discovery, I mean, obviously, I'm open to discuss anything with counsel. If there's anything outstanding specific that you're looking for, I'd be more than happy to address any of those issues, if it's not already contained in that discovery.

But in terms of plea negotiations, we did have preliminary plea discussions and negotiations. I imagine those will probably continue after review of the discovery, but I certainly don't want to speak for counsel.

MS. OLIVERA: That's correct, Your Honor.

We have discussed -- we have had preliminary discussions about how to resolve this case. But until we get the discovery we can't really start considering the guideline range or anything that we would have to -- or the sorts of

2.1

revocation hearing before Judge Bloom and that was predicated upon the defendants having failed 14 of 15 drug tests and also having 11 missed treatment sessions. That was all placed on the record and discussed with the court.

As a result of that conference, the judge had ordered the defendant to home detention with an ankle bracelet and electronic monitoring and also had ordered that as soon as a bed became available, the defendant was to then go to an inpatient facility.

My understanding from Mr. Long and Pretrial
Services is that as of today no bed is available, but also
Judge Bloom's directives were predicated upon the fact that
the defendant wouldn't have any subsequent failures or
violations in terms of his treatment and the conditions
placed upon him.

In speaking with Mr. Long earlier today, he indicated that the individual who was the drug treatment specialist, a Ms. Laura Fahmy, F-A-H-M-Y, unfortunately, is not available today or is not in the office today. He's not sure if she'll be in the office tomorrow. But as of today there are no beds available for Mr. Raihan.

OFFICER SANAN-JULES: I can answer that. My name is Ignace Sanan-Jules of Pretrial Services. I'm actually Officer Long's supervisor.

THE COURT: Oh, great. I didn't know you were on

7 1 the line. 2 OFFICER SANAN-JULES: Yeah, I just logged in. I was 3 trying to get in. I had difficulty. So the defendant continues to test positive. 4 Ιn fact, he was tested on February 27th and that test was 5 positive for amphetamines. So he's still using. 6 7 Our concern is now he's on home detention. 8 is no treatment facility available. There's been a slow down because trying to also deal with the COVIN-19 virus. So 9 we're not sure when placement's going to be available. 10 When we had our appearance before Judge Bloom we 11 did recommend that the defendant be detained pending 12 13 placement. This may be delayed now because of what's going on and he still continues to use. 14 15 THE COURT: I get the --16 MS. OLIVERA: Your Honor --THE COURT: Yes, go ahead, Ms. Olivera. 17 MS. OLIVERA: So the result that we're discussing 18 19 is two weeks old. Mr. Raihan is attending outpatient 20 treatment and my understanding is that the outpatient 2.1 treatment provider does test him twice a week. 22 I submitted to the Pretrial Services office test 23 results that were collected from the specimen on February 24 27th as the treatment provider that returned results that 25 were negative for all substances.

Pretrial Services has not given any indication about whether they are aware of any positive results since then.

I do -- I can only assume that Mr. Raihan has continued to attend outpatient treatment at the treatment provider they set up with him and has continued to be tested for the past two weeks, but we have not heard anything from Pretrial about those tests that should have happened since the 27th.

THE COURT: All right. Is the government -- go ahead.

PRETRIAL SERVICES OFFICER SANAN-JULES: I could definitely follow up and find out the results from the treatment program. I do not have that available right now.

THE COURT: Okay. Is the government seeking any relief at this point?

MR. BUSHWACK: The government was in agreement with Pretrial Services back in February, February 12 specifically, that the defendant should be detained pending any inpatient. I don't think -- you know, his subsequent failures to comply with the terms and conditions just reinforces that position.

And with respect to the statement that the defendant was negative on the 27th at the treatment facility that was explained to me by Mr. Long, which makes perfect

```
1
        sense, that the treatment facility's cut offs are much higher
       than that of Pretrial Services. So it's just that -- it's
 2
 3
       not that he was -- and this is based on the information
       provided by Pretrial.
 4
                  It's not that Mr. Raihan was, in fact, clean on the
 5
        27th. It's just that the cut off with respect to testing at
 6
 7
       the treatment facility is much higher than that of Pretrial
 8
        Services.
 9
                  THE COURT: So you know --
                  MR. BUSHWACK: So the government --
10
                  THE COURT: Excuse me. Mr. Bushwack, you're saying
11
12
        you know how much -- you're saying that had it been a
        different test on that date, he would have tested positive?
13
                  MR. BUSHWACK: Based on the information provided by
14
15
       Pretrial Services, if the cut offs would have been lower at
16
       the facility, correct. And Mr. --
17
                  THE COURT: Wait, wait. Wait. Are we
       talking about two different dates?
18
19
                  MR. BUSHWACK: No, we're talking about the same
20
        date, Your Honor.
21
                  THE COURT: I see.
22
                  OFFICER SANAN-JULES: The same thing.
23
        information is accurate.
24
                  THE COURT: Okay. So listen, Mr. Bushwack, let me
        ask you, I think now the third time. Maybe you'll answer it
25
```

```
1
        this time. Are you seeking any relief today?
 2
                  MR. BUSHWACK: That's a difficult question, Your
 3
        Honor, just because --
                  THE COURT: Yes, and it's the one I've had to ask
 4
        you now four times. How man -- let's do it this way.
 5
                  Just let me know the number of times I need to ask
 6
 7
        it before you'll give me an answer. Whatever that number is,
 8
        please pretend I've asked it that many times.
 9
                  MR. BUSHWACK:
                                  Yes.
                  THE COURT: Okay. What relief are you seeking?
10
                  MR. BUSHWACK:
                                  That the defendant's detained.
11
12
                  THE COURT: Okay. If this were a month ago, I
        think that would be a relatively easy call.
13
                  Under the Bail Reform Act I have to consider the
14
15
        risk to the community. Our community includes the people
16
        incarcerated at the MDC, those who work there and those who
        live and interact with those who work there. And let's not
17
        kid ourselves. The more people we crowd into that facility,
18
19
        the more we're increasing the risk to the community.
20
                  I'm really hesitant to respond to drug usage with
21
        incarceration given that risk. Is there any reason to think
        that continuing Mr. Raihan on the conditions that I recognize
22
23
        that are not working as intended will endanger the community?
24
                  OFFICER SANAN-JULES: Ignace from Pretrial. I
25
        don't think it's an added danger to the community. My
```

```
1
        concern is for his health and well being.
                  THE COURT: Yes.
 2
 3
                  OFFICER SANAN-JULES: He doesn't live with his
        parents. He lives with a bunch of roommates. He's using and
 4
        I'm just afraid he may overdose one day, because it's not
 5
        just marijuana. He's smoking heavy stuff.
 6
 7
                  THE COURT: I hear you and like I say, this would
 8
        be an easy call a month ago.
 9
                  Mr. Raihan, you're on the phone. You can hear me,
        right?
10
11
                  THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
12
                  MS. OLIVERA: Your Honor, I'd also like to request
13
        the opportunity to be heard at some point.
14
                  THE COURT: Please. Let me hear you.
15
                  MS. OLIVERA: Your Honor, we're talking about a
16
        drug result from two weeks ago that we are disputing based on
        another -- a conflicting result from the treatment provider.
17
18
        We understand --
19
                  THE COURT: Again, Ms. Olivera -- but you know
20
        what? That -- as far as I'm concerned, for today's purpose
21
        that ship has sailed.
22
                  If you have some other argument to make, I'm happy
23
        to hear.
24
                  MS. OLIVERA: Okay. But what I'd like --
                  THE COURT: But the record makes sufficiently clear
25
```

to me that your client is continuing to use in violation of the conditions of his release.

The really tough question for me is what to do under the circumstances in which we find ourselves where incarceration is likely to increase the risk to the community.

Do you want to say anything on that?

MS. OLIVERA: If we are gauging the risk to the community or my client, I think it's important to know what has happened in the two weeks that have passed since the 27th.

Pretrial is telling us that they don't know the test results that he's had over the past two weeks and there is every indication that he's continued to attend treatment.

So if the case is that he's been clean for the past two weeks and attending treatment, then I believe that shows that any danger to the community or Mr. Raihan himself is greatly reduced.

I think it's very different to say that someone has been continuously using than that someone received a positive result two weeks ago that could have been residual use, wasn't confirmed.

There may be some sort of issue with it that we don't believe -- it doesn't appear has been explored, but I think that if we're talking about a remand, we should at

```
1
        least know what's happened in the two weeks from that
 2
        positive test result.
 3
                  THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
 4
                  I'm going to continue the defendant on release but
        Mr. Raihan, if you continue to use, as I am satisfied you
 5
        have been doing, I'll have to revisit this issue.
 6
 7
                  I really don't want to put you in the MDC, Not if
 8
        you're not doing something to affirmatively endanger people
 9
        and even at the risk, quite frankly, that your continued use
        of drugs will endanger yourself.
10
                  If you continue to use, you're only hurting your
11
        own chances for remaining at liberty and your own health. And
12
        I want to be informed promptly if there's any further
13
14
        positive test.
15
                  Do you understand me, Mr. Raihan?
16
                  THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
                  THE COURT: All right. Is there anything else for
17
        today?
18
19
                  MR. BUSHWACK: Nothing from the government.
                                                                 Thank
20
        you.
21
                  MS. OLIVERA: Nothing from the defense.
22
                  THE COURT: Thank you, all. Have a good day.
23
                  MR. BUSHWACK:
                                  Thank you.
24
                  MS. OLIVERA: Thank you, Judge.
25
                  (Proceedings concluded at 2:16 p.m.)
```